27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		NO. C 06-01303 JW
11	In re ATI Tech. HDCP Litig.	ORDER BIFURCATING DISCOVERY
12	This document relates to:	PENDING THE COURT'S RULING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION
13	ALL ACTIONS.	CERTIFICATION
14	/	
15	On September 18, 2006, the Court conducted a case management conference. The parties	
16	disputed whether discovery should be bifurcated pending the Court's ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion for	
17	Class Certification, which was filed on December 1, 2006 and noticed for hearing on March 19,	
18	2007. Having considered the positions of cour	nsel, the Court orders as follows.
19	The parties shall limit their discovery to class certification issues. This limitation shall be in	
20	effect until the Court's ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. The parties are referred	
21	to Magistrate Judge Lloyd for any further discovery disputes.	
22		$O = I_{\bullet}I_{\bullet}$
23	Dated: February 11, 2007	TAMES WARE
24		United States District Judge
25		
26		

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

2	Alan Himmelfarb Consumerlaw1@earthlink.net Alexander E Barnett abarnett@masonlawdc.com	
	Alexander E Barnett <u>abarnett@masonlawdc.com</u>	
3	David C. Parisi deparisi@msn.com	
	David C. Parisi dcparisi@msn.com Jeffrey S. Facter jfacter@shearman.com	
4	Jiyoun Chung jiyoun.chung@shearman.com	
	Scott A Kamber skamber@kolaw.com	

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk Dated: February 11, 2007

> By: /s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia Courtroom Deputy